

582206 Models of Computation (Autumn 2010)

2nd course exam 16 December, 16–19 o'clock, Exactum A111

examiner Jyrki Kivinen

To speed up grading, please give your answers to problems 1, 2 and 3 each on their own sheet.

Solve all parts of all problems. The maximum score is 24 points.

1. [2+3+3 points]

- Give a context-free grammar for the language $\{0^m 1^n 0^k 1^m \mid m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$.
- Give a context-free grammar for the language consisting of all the regular expressions over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. Thus, the language you generate is over the alphabet $\{0, 1, (,), \circ, \cup, *\}$ and contains, for example, strings $0 \cup 1$ and $(0 \circ 1 \cup 1^*)^*$, but not $1 \cup$ or $1 \circ \cup 0$.
- Using the method covered in the course, convert the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow aSc \mid T \\ T &\rightarrow bT \mid \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

into an equivalent push-down automaton. For a solution it is sufficient to show the state diagram of the automaton. Give also a left-most derivation of the string $aabcc$ in the grammar, and simulate an accepting computation of your automaton with that input (by for example listing the intermediate configurations of the stack).

2. [3+2+2 points]

- A *dominating set* in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a set of vertices that covers at least one end point of each edge. Hence, $U \subseteq V$ is a dominating set if for all $(u, v) \in E$ we have $u \in U$ or $v \in U$. Consider the formal language

$$DS = \{ \langle G, k \rangle \mid \text{graph } G \text{ has a dominating set with exactly } k \text{ vertices} \}.$$

Show that $DS \in \text{NP}$. Give the proof on a fairly high level without getting too deep into technical details of Turing machines etc.

- Actually we know that the language DS from part (a) is NP-complete. What implications does this have for possible practical algorithms for solving the problem?
- Give an example of a formal language that is recognizable but not decidable. What implications do these properties have for possible practical algorithms for solving your problem?

3. [3+3+3 points]

- The language $\{0^n 1^n 0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is known not to be context-free. Using this fact prove that the class of context-free languages is not closed under intersection.
- Use the result from part (a) together with other known facts about context-free languages to prove that the class of context-free languages is not closed under complementation.
- Prove that the language $D = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \notin L(M) \}$ is not Turing-recognizable.

Tehtävät suomeksi kääntöpuolella!