

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

# Chapter 7: Distributed Systems: Warehouse-Scale Computing

Fall 2011 *Jussi Kangasharju* 





# **Chapter Outline**

- Warehouse-scale computing overview
- Workloads and software infrastructure
- Failures and repairs
- Note: Term "Warehouse-scale computing" originates from Google -> Examples typically of Google's services
   Trend towards WSC is more general
- This chapter based on book Barroso, Hölzle: "The Datacenter as a Computer" (see course website)



# What is Warehouse-Scale Computing (WSC)?

Essentially: Modern Internet services

Massive scale of...

- Software infrastructure
- Data repositories
- Hardware platform
- Program is a service
- Consists of tens of interacting programs
  - Different teams, organizations, etc.



# WSC vs. Data Centers

Both look very similar to the outside

- "Lots of computers in one building"
- Key difference:

Data centers host services for multiple providers

- Little commonality between hardware and software
- Third-party software solutions
- WSC run by a single organization
  - Homogeneous hardware and software and management
  - In-house middleware



# **Cost Efficiency**

Cost efficiency extremely important

Growth driven by 3 main factors:

- Popularity increases load
- Size of problem increases (e.g., indexing of Web)
- Highly competitive market

Need bigger and bigger systems  $\rightarrow$  Cost efficiency!



# **Future of Distributed Computing?**

WSC is not just a collection of servers

- New and rapidly evolving workloads
- **–** Too big to simulate  $\rightarrow$  New design techniques
- Fault behavior
- Energy efficiency
- New programming paradigms
- Design spectrum:
  - One computer  $\rightarrow$  Multiple computers  $\rightarrow$  Data center
  - WSC = Multiple data centers operating together
  - Modern CDN: "Server" = WSC data center



### **Architectural Overview**

- Storage
- Networking
- Storage hierarchy
- Latency, bandwidth, capacity
- Power usage
- Handling failures



### **General architecture**

Servers, e.g., 1-U servers

Racks

Interconnected racks



# Storage

Tradeoff: NAS vs. local disks as distributed filesystem?

NAS:

Easier to deploy, puts responsibility on vendor

Collection of disks:

- Must implement own filesystem abstraction (e.g., GFS)
- Lower hardware costs (desktop vs. enterprise disks)
- Reliability issues and replication?
- More network traffic due to writes



# Network

- 48-port 1 Gbps Ethernet switches are "cheap"
- Good bandwidth within one rack
- Problem: Cluster-level bandwidth?
  - Bigger and faster switches prohibitively expensive?
- Hierarchical network organization:
  - Good bandwidth within rack
  - Less bandwidth within cluster
  - Programmer must keep this in mind! (transparency?)



# **Storage Hierarchy**

#### Server:

- N processors, X cores/CPU, local cache, DRAM, disks
- Fast, but limited capacity

#### Rack:

- Individual servers, combined view
- A bit slower, but more capacity

#### Cluster:

- View over all racks
- Slower, but more capacity

#### Tradeoff: Bandwidth, latency, capacity



### **Power Usage**

No single culprit on server level

CPU 33%

- DRAM 30%
- Disk 10%
- Network 5%
- Other 22%

Further optimization targets on cluster/WSC level
 Cooling of data center



# **Handling Failures**

At this scale, things will break often

Application must handle them

More details later



# **Workloads and Software Infrastructure**

Different levels of abstraction

Platform-level software

Firmware, kernel, individual OS

#### Cluster-level infrastructure software

- Distributed software for managing resources and services
- "OS for a datacenter"
- Distributed FS, RPC, MapReduce, …

#### Application-level software

Actual application, e.g., Gmail, Google Maps



### **Datacenter vs. Desktop**

Differences in developing software

#### Datacenter:

- Parallelism (both data and requests)
- Workload changes
- Homogeneous platform
- Hiding failures



# **Basic Techniques**

Technique	Reliability	Availability
Replication	Yes	Yes
Partitioning	Yes	Yes
Load balancing	Yes	
Timers		Yes
Integrity checks		Yes
Appspecific Compression	Yes	
Eventual consistency	Yes	Yes



# **Cluster-Level Infrastructure Software**

- Resource management
  - Mapping of tasks to resources
- Hardware abstraction and basic services
  - Distributed storage, message passing, ...
- Deployment and maintenance
  - Software distribution, configuration, ...
- Programming frameworks
  - Hide some of the above from programmer
  - Examples: MapReduce, BigTable, Dynamo



# MapReduce

- Google's framework for processing large data sets on clusters
- Name from map and reduce (functional programming)
  - Not really much in common with real "map" and "reduce"
- One master, multiple (levels) of slaves
- Map:
  - Master partitions input, distributed to slaves
  - Slaves may do the same
- Reduce:
  - Slave sends its result to its master
  - Eventually root-master will get result



# **Application-Level Software**

- What is the application?
  - First was search, then many other have appeared
- Datacenter must support general-purpose computing
   Too expensive to tailor datacenters for applications
   Changing workloads → Faster to adapt software
- Two application examples:
  - Search
  - Similar scientific articles (see book for description)



## Search

- Inverted index
  - Set of documents matching a keyword
- Size of index similar to original data
- Consider query "new york restaurant"
  - Must search each of three terms
  - Find documents matching every term
  - Sorting (PageRank + other criteria)  $\rightarrow$  Result
- Latency must be low (user waiting)
- Throughput must be high (many users)
- Read-only index  $\rightarrow$  Easily parallelizable



## **Monitoring Infrastructure**

- Service-level dashboards
  - Real-time monitoring of few key indicators (latency, t-put)
  - Can extend to some more indicators
- Performance debugging tools
  - Dashboards only show problem, but no answer to "why"
  - No need for real-time (compare CPU profilers)
  - Blackbox monitoring vs. instrumentation approach
- Platform-level monitoring
  - Everything above is needed, but not sufficient
  - Need a higher-level view (see book for details)



# Buy vs. Build?

- Buy:
  - Typical solution
- Build:
  - Google's (and others') approach
  - Original reason: No third-party solutions available
  - More software development and maintenance work
  - Improved flexibility
  - In-house software can take "shortcuts"
    - Not implement every feature



# Failures

Traditional software not good with failures

Result: Make hardware more reliable

WSC is different because of scale

- 30 year MTBF = 10,000 hours MTBF
- WSC with 10,000 servers = 1 failure per day

Software must handle failures

- Application or middleware
- Middleware makes applications simpler



# **Positive Side Effect**

Failures are a fact of life

Can buy cheaper hardware

Upgrades are simpler

- Upgrade, kill, reboot
- Same for hardware upgrades

■ "Failure is an option" ☺

Can allow servers to fail, makes life simpler



## Caveats

Cannot ignore reliability completely

Hardware must be able to detect errors and failures

- No need to recover, but can include
- Not detecting hardware errors is risky
  - See book for example
  - Every piece of software would need to handle everything



# **Categorizing Faults**

- Corrupted
  - Data lost or corrupted
  - Can data be regenerated or not?
- Unreachable
  - Service unreachable by users
  - User network reliability?
- Degraded
  - Service available, but degraded
  - What can be still done?
- Masked
  - Fault occurs, but is masked



# **Sources of Faults**

Hardware not the common culprit (~10%)

Software and configurations are bigger problems

Exact numbers depend on study

Hardware problem = single computer
 Software/configuration problem = many computers simultaneously



### **Causes of Crashes**

Anecdotal evidence points to software

- Hardware: Memory or disk
- DRAM errors happen, but can be helped with ECC
  Some errors still persist
- Real crash rate higher than studies predict
  Again points to software
- Predicting problems in WSC not useful
  - Need to handle failures anyway
  - Could be useful in other systems



# Repairs

When something breaks, it must be repaired

Two important characteristics of WSC

No need to repair immediately

Optimize time of repair technician

Collect lot of health data from large number of servers
 Use machine learning to optimize actions



# **Summary: Key Challenges**

Rapidly changing workloads

Building balanced systems from imbalanced components

Energy use

Amdahl's Law



Warehouse-scale computing overview

Workloads and software infrastructure

Failures and repairs